

To: Dickerson, Dave[dickerson.dave@epa.gov]; Ellen Iorio[maryellen.iorio@usace.army.mil]; Morris, Mike (Bourne)[Mike.Morris@jacobs.com]; Patricia White[whitep@battelle.org]; Wolf, Steven H CIV USARMY CENAE (US)[Steven.Wolf@usace.army.mil]; Lewis, Dion A CIV USARMY CENAE (US)[Dion.A.Lewis@usace.army.mil]; Anderson, Elizabeth[Elizabeth.Anderson@jacobs.com]
Cc: Ruiz, Carlos E CIV (US)[Carlos.E.Ruiz@usace.army.mil]; Schroeder, Paul R CIV USARMY CEERD-EL (US)[Paul.R.Schroeder@usace.army.mil]
From: Groher, Daniel M CIV USARMY CENAE (US)
Sent: Fri 9/1/2017 4:35:29 PM
Subject: Revised Gas Ebullition Lab Study Approach
[Lab testing approach 9-1-17.docx](#)
[MW Factsheet_Rapid-Assay_PCB.pdf](#)

Here is the more refined lab study approach. This revised approach is based on a collaborative effort by Carlos, Paul and myself. I *think* we are just about there. We have a few details to work out, such as:

- the applicability and costs of the IA tests
- TOC detection limits
- Which lab(s) to use for laboratory analyses and costs

I think we can get the answer to these in the next week or so.

Take a look and we would appreciate feedback. Please note though, that this is a lab experimental study, and we have to be somewhat "nimble" and not overly prescriptive to achieve our goals. The observations from the early parts of the study will have a lot of impact on how we proceed in the latter parts. The key to success will be good communication among the team during the testing.

Hope everyone has a great holiday weekend.

Dan

Daniel Groher, P.E.
Environmental Engineering Section
GeoEnvironmental Branch
US Army Corps of Engineers, New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742
(978)318-8404
FAX: (978)318-8080